## Why is CSEA management playing games with our contract?



## CSEA bosses say they can't afford anything less than cutting take-home pay for staff

But a financial review reveals a different story...

+ \$7 Million: CSEA spent \$7 million less than it received in CSEA member dues for 2011-

2012. Meanwhile, management refused to fill critical bargaining unit positions

causing an epidemic of workload problems

+ \$8 Million: CSEA budgeted \$12-15 million for employee pensions in 2012-13, but the

cost was less than \$7 million.

+ \$10 Million: CSEA spent nearly \$10 million LESS in 2012 for total member services than

they did in 2011.

**= \$25 Million:** CSEA's expenses were \$25 million less than budgeted in 2012-2013.

Saving money for a rainy day is smart, but hoarding more than needed on

the backs of your employees is anti-union.



## CSEA's 'union' contract proposal: takeaways and benefit cuts

Pulling a page out of the district playbook, CSEA management proposes major benefit cuts to staff...

insurance benefits (having its biggest impact on staff with families).

- 5 Percent Pay cut imposed due to required out-of-pocket pension contribution (1.5%

in 2013, 3% in 2014, and 5% in 2015).

**1.75 Percent** CSEA proposes a very modest 1.75% wage increase in 2013 and 2014,

and a 2.25% wage increase in 2015 (offset entirely by cuts).

- 10 Percent Member services have suffered as CSEA cut positions and reduced our

bargaining unit by 10%. Workload problems run rampant, but

management refuses to acknowledge it as an issue.

**= Pay Cut** Most bargaining unit staff would receive a total net loss in

take-home pay/benefits under CSEA's proposed contract.